## PLANNING REGISTER FROM 1st JANUARY 2019 – 31st December 2019

Agenda Date	Email DATE	REF.NO.	DETAILS	PC COMMENT /	Date
&	RECEIVED			RECOMMENDATION	Returned
UDC Return Date					
17/01/19	11/01/19	UTT/18/2055/F	PROPOSAL: Change of use of Grade II Listed barn to	RESOLVED to SUPPORT subject to the following	18/01/19
01/02/19		UL	provide a residential dwelling, change of use and	•	
		U11/18/2238/LB	use and residential conversion of existing agricultural	Permitted development rights are to be removed, Thaxted Parish Council register their strong objections to the Velux Window in their current location and are	
			structures, and erection of new agricultural-style	therefore Seeking to Transfer the Velux windows to the Northern elevation. In accordance to the Emerging	
			dwellings with associated parking, landscaping and	Neighbourhood and Thaxted's village Design statement.	
				Additional comments include Thaxted Parish Council stated a Commendable effort from the architect who has	
				taken on board our previous comments, they listened	
			•	and subsequently revised the plans. We look forward to	
			to residential)	viewing the plans again with these small modifications	
			LOCATION: Cutlers Green Farm Cutlers Green Cutlers	included.	
			Green Lane		
07/02/19 12/02/19	16/01/19	014	<b>PROPOSAL:</b> Variation of S106 dated 8th August 2013 to vary the obligations relating to the footway and		11/2/19
			cycleway and substitute a new plan into the		
			agreement in order to increase the number of routes	applications/applicationDetails.do?activeTab=documen	
			available to the public across the open space.	ts&keyVal=PL4HJFQN01000	
			<b>LOCATION:</b> Land South Of Sampford Road B1051 Walden Road		
07/02/19 15/02/19	18/01/19		PROPOSAL: Two storey rear extension	RESOLVED to Make NO COMMENT	11/02/19
13/02/19		ПЕ	LOCATION: 28 Magdalen Green Thaxted		
07/02/19	21/01/19		PROPOSAL: Conversion of existing garage workshop	RESOLVED to SUPPORT	11/02/19
18/02/19		ПГ	to annex and proposed three bay		
			cartlodge.  LOCATION: Orchard House Causeway End		
			·		
07/02/19 27/02/19	31/01/19		<b>PROPOSAL:</b> Section 73A Retrospective application for	INESCENCE TO INTORCE INC. COMMINICIAL	11/02/19
27/02/19		ПГ	amendments to approved scheme for new cart lodge		

Agenda Date & UDC Return Date	Email DATE RECEIVED	REF.NO.	DETAILS	PC COMMENT / RECOMMENDATION	Date Returned
Soci			and studio, closure of existing Eastern vehicular access and reinstatement of frontage wall, setting back of existing gateway at Western vehicular access (approved under planning permission UTT/18/3205/HHF) - both side garages to cartlodge have been extended to the rear 600mm and central garage enclosed LOCATION: Greensleeves 37 Bolford Street		
07/02/19 27/02/19	31/01/19		PROPOSAL: New 20 space car park with installation of pay and display ticket machine, one electric vehicle charging point, one coach bay and new signage. LOCATION: Coach Park Bardfield Road	10 10 11 11 11 11 11 11	11/02/19
21/02/19 04/03/19	04/02/19		PROPOSAL: 1 no. Dwelling and detached garage LOCATION: Land Adjacent Levetts Bardfield Road	RESOLVED to make NO COMMENT	25/02/19
21/02/19 11/03/19	11/02/19	UTT/19/0274/F UL	PROPOSAL: Conversion and extension of barn to form single dwelling house LOCATION: Barn At Prouds Farm Walden Road	RESOLVED to SUPPORT	25/02/19
21/02/19 unknown	Not received	1.11	PROPOSAL: Demolition of the Old Telephone Exchange and the erection of four terraced dwellings with car park and access LOCATION: Land East Of Weaverhead Lane Thaxted CM6 2LZ	RESOLVED to OBJECT  Summary of objection – Overdevelopment, incorrect information provided by the Applicant which has been separately email directly to the planning department. Thaxted Parish Council have NOT agreed the sale of any land connected to this development. Therefore The access is factually incorrect stating 8M when in fact it is 6.7m which would greatly impact on highways visibility. The roof height of the buildings should also be reduced, and the removal of permitted development rights be stipulated to enforce no additional bedrooms be created within the loft space. this would be in keeping with Policy GEN2. There is not adequate parking for the proposed development with only 7 spaces shown where the recommendation would be a minimum of 8 Contra to Policy GEN 8. Given the correct measurements this leaves no manoeuvrability for vehicles to turn therefore reversing out on to the highways on a dangerous and very well used bend almost opposite the doctor's	25/02/19

Agenda Date &	Email DATE RECEIVED	REF.NO.	DETAILS	PC COMMENT / RECOMMENDATION	Date Returned
UDC Return Date				RECOVINERDATION	Returned
Dute				surgery. which is contra to policy GEN1	
07/03/19 19/03/19	19/02/19	UL	<b>PROPOSAL:</b> Demolition of existing stable blocks and erection of building to be used in connection with equestrian activities. <b>LOCATION:</b> Hammer Hill Farmhouse Stanbrook Road		12/03/19
07/03/19 19/03/19	19/02/19	HF	PROPOSAL: Proposed orangery to side elevation LOCATION: 2 Stanbrook Cottage Stanbrook Road	RESOLVED to SUPPORT the application with the following comments: The Materials used should be more in keeping with the surrounding area.	12/03/19
07/03/19 21/03/19	21/02/19		PROPOSAL: Erection of side porch (alternative scheme to that approved under UTT/18/0975/HHF). LOCATION: Coverts Bardfield End Green	RESOLVED to SUPPORT the application It is suggested that The clerk should write to the owners with the following details: The red line is to include access only and does not delineate ownership as the frontage is in the possession of Thaxted Parish Council.	12/03/19
07/03/19 21/03/19	21/02/19	HF	PROPOSAL: Section 73A Retrospective application for the erection of a double garage with ancillary living accommodation (annexe) in roofspace.  LOCATION: Jareth Cutlers Green	RESOLVED to STRONGLY OBJECT to the continuous retrospective application with the following comments: Protection of enhancement of the landscape in connecting to the Neighbourhood plan Policy S7 & Policy ENV3.  The garage has been built larger than the original planning consent which the Parish Council strongly object to and consider this to be something Uttlesford District Council planning authority be should deeply concerned about.  Retrospective applications appear to be 'the typical' of this applicant, each application is immediately superseded with one for larger detached houses or change of use, wholly at odds with the emerging Neighbourhood Plan for the Thaxted area and its requirement for smaller, less costly units. A single vehicular access has become a number of accesses, with additional ones sought. The parish council wish to note that this is in contravention to Policy GEN 1 and we note that the current accesses are not as drawn in the presented applications.  Thaxted Parish Council would also note that Policy H8 — Home Extensions clearly states that "a) their scale, design and external materials respect those of the	12/03/19

Agenda Date &	Email DATE RECEIVED	REF.NO.	DETAILS PC COMMENT /	Date
ی UDC Return Date			RECOMMENDATION	Returned
			original building" and "c) Development would not have an overbearing effect on neighbouring properties". Both of these criterions have not been satisfied. And the	
			Parish Council call in to question how any retrospective of further development can be lawfully permitted.	
28/03/19 04/04/19	07/03/19	UTT/19/0500/H HF	PROPOSAL: Erection of single and first floor rear RESOLVED to SUPPORT the application extensions and front porch LOCATION: 3 Claypits Villas Thaxted	29/03/19
28/03/19 04/04/19	07/03/19	UTT/19/0485/H HF	PROPOSAL: Extension to existing garage and attic RESOLVED to SUPPORT the application room above LOCATION: Ash Tree House Sibleys Lane	29/03/19
28/03/19 08/04/19	11/03/19	UL	PROPOSAL: Application to remove condition 1 of RESOLVED to SUPPORT the application application DUN/10/64 to allow use as a seperate residential dwelling.  LOCATION: Hammer Hill Farm Stanbrook Road	29/03/19
28/03/19 10/04/19	13/03/19	UTT/19/0492/H HF	PROPOSAL: Proposed demolition of existing RESOLVED to SUPPORT the application conservatory and erection of two storey rear extension.  LOCATION: 32 Wainsfield Villas Bardfield Road	29/03/19
28/03/19 11/04/19	14/03/19	UTT/19/0469/F UL	PROPOSAL: Demolition of existing dwelling and erection of 1 no. new dwelling  LOCATION: Bluebell Cottage Cutlers Green  Contage Cutlers G	29/03/19
28/03/19 18/04/19	21/03/19	UTT/19/0636/H HF	PROPOSAL: Retrospective application for erection of RESOLVED to MAKE NO COMMENT front porch.  LOCATION: 20 Barnards Field Thaxted	29/03/19
28/03/19 29/03/19	22/03/19	UTT/18/2440/F UL	PROPOSAL: Proposed conversion of stable and garage block to 1 no. dwelling  LOCATION: The Borough Bolford Street Thaxted  LOCATION: The Borough Bolford Street T	29/03/19

Agenda Date	Email DATE RECEIVED	REF.NO.	DETAILS	PC COMMENT /	Date
& UDC Return	RECEIVED			RECOMMENDATION	Returned
Date				wish for this to be considered.	
04/04/19 25/04/19	28/03/19	UL	PROPOSAL: Construction of eight apartments and associated landscaping. The relocation of a new build dwelling which has previously been granted planning permission (unit 25) and the relocation of a window within this dwelling.  LOCATION: Former Molecular Products Site Mill End	<b>RESOLVED</b> to <b>SUPPORT</b> – to comment that there is a lack of individual outdoor space for each apartment and this would be a considered desire in keeping with the conservation area.	09/04/19
04/04/19 26/04/19	29/04/19		<b>PROPOSAL:</b> Crack repairs to front elevation around bay window and corresponding internal repairs to the front bedroom. <b>LOCATION:</b> 17 Park Street Thaxted		09/04/19
25/04/19 02/05/19	04/04/19	HF	PROPOSAL: Proposed front single storey extension including extension of existing pitched roof.  LOCATION: 2 Townfield Bardfield Road	RESOLVED to SUPPORT	30/04/19
25/4/19 7/5/19	9/4/19	UL	PROPOSAL: Demolition of outbuildings and construction of 1 no. dwelling with new access (revised scheme to that approved under UTT/18/1898/FUL). LOCATION: Brooklyns Weaverhead Lane	RESOLVED to UNANIMOUSLY OBJECT to this application based on the following grounds: Thaxted Parish Council write to express a specific objection to this application. This site is designated in the draft Local Plan which has now been submitted to the Secretary of State as 'Protected Open Space' and is therefore strongly protected.	30/04/19
				Planning permission was granted in December 2018 for the construction of a new house in the middle of this protected area, Ignoring all comments in addition ignoring the appeal decision on the site in which the inspector made it quite clear that development would 'fail to sustain the significance of the conservation area' and concluded that 'the presumption in favour of sustainable development does not apply'. Clearly no account was taken either of the adopted Thaxted Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Proposals undertaken by Uttlesford District Council in 2012, both of these highlight the importance of Brooklyns garden as a 'significant feature in the character of the Conservation Area'.	

Agenda Date	Email DATE RECEIVED	REF.NO.	DETAILS	PC COMMENT /	Date
& UDC Return Date	RECEIVED			RECOMMENDATION	Returned
				Thaxted Parish Council <b>strongly</b> and <b>unanimously object</b> to any form of planning permission connected to this area, and we seek that this is refused in accordance with your own draft Local Plan, the adopted Conservation Area Appraisal and an appeal decision on the same site.  As pointed out by the Thaxted Neighbourhood Plan Steering group, Thaxted Parish Council would also concur that the submitted Heritage Statement relates to the previous application and <b>not</b> the currently proposed	
25/4/19 13/5/19	15/04/19	HF	PROPOSAL: Two storey side extension and part two storey part single storey rear extension LOCATION: 5 Wainsfield Villas Bardfield Road	The Council Note:  Note that vehicular access to the side and rear of the property would be lost and would seek clarification as to what parking provisions would be made for a property extended to that size?	30/04/19
25/04/19 13/05/19	15/04/19	115	PROPOSAL: Proposed single storey rear extension and associated alterations LOCATION: Monk Street Farm Monk Street	RESOLVED to SUPPORT	30/04/19
09/05/19 28/05/19	30/04/19	UL	PROPOSAL: Proposed erection of two storey dwelling with associated landscaping and remodelling.  LOCATION: Potters Cottage Bardfield Road	RESOLVED to UNANIMOUSLY OBJECT with the following comments, In addition to previous comments made, the Parish Council have in place a Made neighbourhood Plan, we therefore object on the grounds that we consider this site as overdevelopment and contra to POLICY TX HD1 as identified in the Thaxted Neighbourhood Plan. The highways comments are also seconded by this council as we consider visibility and road access to be dangerous and contrary to the Highway Authority's Development Management Policies, adopted as County Council Supplementary Guidance in February 2011, and Uttlesford Local Plan Policy GEN1 and advice within NPPF. This remains an incredibly dangerous entrance, positioned on a busy road and near a blind corner. This application has been noted previously with the parish council stating that it would spoil the character of the area, that there was and is no need for such a building, that it was inappropriate in such a location and that it would adversely affect the trees and garden and that it would have a severe and detrimental effect on that part of the parish.	21/05/19

Agenda Date	Email DATE	REF.NO.	DETAILS	PC COMMENT /	Date
&	RECEIVED	REF.INO.	DETAILS	RECOMMENDATION	Returned
UDC Return					
Date					
09/05/19	30/04/19		PROPOSAL: Single storey extension and associated	RESOLVED to SUPPORT	21/05/19
28/05/19		HF	alterations and landscaping		
			LOCATION: Millars Farm Bardfield End Green		
06/06/19	15/05/19	UTT/19/1093/H	PROPOSAL: Proposed single storey rear extension	RESOLVED to SUPPORT	07/06/19
10/06/19		HF	LOCATION: 28 Bolford Street Thaxted		
06/06/19	21/05/19	UTT/19/1189/LB	PROPOSAL: Erection of detached dwelling	RESOLVED to OBJECT	07/06/19
18/06/19			LOCATION: Ellan Vannin Sibleys Lane	Contra to the Neighbourhood plan Policy TX LSC4	
				Development in Outlying Settlements other than that we	
				have no further comments to make.	
				have no further comments to make.	
06/06/19	21/05/19	UTT/19/1188/H	PROPOSAL: Single storey rear extension	RESOLVED to SUPPORT	07/06/19
18/06/19	, ,	HF	LOCATION: 6 Watling Street Thaxted	1,1551715 (6 55) ( 51)	
06/06/19	30/05/19	UTT/19/1231/F	PROPOSAL: Conversion and extension of barn to form	RESOLVED to make the following COMMENT	07/06/19
27/06/19	00,00,10	UL	1 no. Dwelling.	Whilst we accept that the current proposal is certainly	0.700720
			LOCATION: Prouds Farm Walden Road	better and the layout of the new accommodation is	
			LOCATION. Flouds Faith Waldell Road	more discreet. The problem is that the materials used,	
				·	
				namely the zinc roofs and cedar cladding have nothing	
				to do with the Thaxted vernacular and would be an	
				appalling clash with the Grade II* listed Prouds	
				Farmhouse. We might suggest a switch to render and	
				clay tiles. we find this application contra to Policy TX	
				HD10. Other than this we have no further comments to	
				make. This council would welcome the changes to the	
				materials used as commented upon.	
06/06/40	24 /05 /40	LITT /40 /422 4 /5			07/06/40
06/06/19 28/06/19	31/05/19	UTT/19/1224/F UL	PROPOSAL: Proposed garage (amendment to garage	RESOLVED to SUPPORT	07/06/19
20/00/19		OL	approved under UTT/18/1639/FUL).		
			LOCATION: Oak Cottage Bardfield End Green		
11/07/19	10/06/19		PROPOSAL: Single storey rear extension and garage	RESOLVED to SUPPORT with the following condition	12/07/19
08/07/19		HF	conversion to form annex	and comment: that the use of materials which is not	
			(amendment to previously approved application	specified in the application. Subject to a condition that the materials are approved.	
			UTT/18/1741/HHF).	the materials are approved.	
			LOCATION: Dennton 11 Mill End		
11/07/19	11/06/19	UTT/19/1175/H	PROPOSAL: Erection of single storey and first floor	RESOLVED to SUPPORT	12/07/19
09/07/19		HF	rear extensions and front porch.		
			l		

Agenda Date	Email DATE	REF.NO.	DETAILS	PC COMMENT /	Date
&	RECEIVED			RECOMMENDATION	Returned
UDC Return					
Date			LOCATION: 2 Claumita Villas Thautad		
			LOCATION: 3 Claypits Villas Thaxted		
11/07/19	13/06/19		PROPOSAL: Conversion from residential to church	RESOLVED to SUPPORT	12/07/19
11/07/19		UTT/19/0808/F	office/assembly room including minor		
		UL	alterations, reconstruction of existing extension and		
			changes to doors and windows		
			LOCATION: The Chantry Fishmarket Street		
11/07/19	13/6/19	UTT/19/0995/F	PROPOSAL: Construction of 1 no. dwelling with	RESOLVED to SUPPORT providing this is built in	12/07/19
11/07/19		UL	cartlodge and new access point (amended	accordance to the plans.	
			scheme to that approved under planning permission	·	
			UTT/18/0851/FUL).		
			LOCATION: Site Adj. Bluebell Cottage Cutlers Green		
11/07/191	14/06/19	UTT/19/1408/LB	PROPOSAL: Proposed conversion of stable and garage	RESOLVED to SUPPORT on the condition that the view	12/07/19
2/07/19		UTT/19/1407/F	block to 1 no. dwelling	(West elevation) from cutlers green remains unalters	
		UL	LOCATION: The Borough Bolford Street		
11/07/19	21/06/19		PROPOSAL: Demolition of existing dwelling, garage	RESOLVED to SUPPORT with a recorded vote: on the	12/07/19
19/07/19		UL	and stables and erection of replacement dwelling	following conditions:	
			complete with infrastructure and access	On the conditions that, we Note that This dwelling is	
			LOCATION: Land At Robels Cutlers Green	situated within an area of sensitive landscape as	
				denoted in the Neighbourhood plan. On the provision	
				that this is not in contravention of the Thaxted Neighbourhood Plan and any of its Policies, in particular	
				TX LSC1 & 2&3 and THXD1 & TX LSC4 including any of	
				the policies contained within the Uttlesford Local Plan	
				such as policy S7 of the Local Plan.	
11/07/19	28/06/19		PROPOSAL: Proposed single storey and double storey	RESOLVED to SUPPORT	12/07/19
26/07/19		HF	rear extensions and single storey front extension		
			LOCATION: 8 Bolford Street Thaxted		

Agenda Date &	Email DATE RECEIVED	REF.NO.	DETAILS	PC COMMENT /	Date
UDC Return				RECOMMENDATION	Returned
Date 11/07/19 31/07/19	03/17/19	ÜL	PROPOSAL: Demolition of redundant agricultural building and erection of 2 no. dwellings OF TWO DWELLINGS LOCATION: Barn At Millars Farm		12/07/19
08/08/19 14/08/19	17/7/19	UL	PROPOSAL: C2 residential care home for up to 3 children with 24 hour care. Alternative scheme to that approved under UTT/18/0851/FUL LOCATION: Adj To Bluebell Cottage Cutlers Green	RESOLVED to STRONGLY AND UNANIMOUSLY OBJECT on the following grounts  We have major concerns that the specification of the house would not meet the standards for high level dependant residents. The bedrooms and bathrooms are clearly not suitable.  There are no design features to support care being delivered on site, and virtually none to support someone	09/08/19

Agenda Date	Email DATE	REF.NO.	DETAILS	PC COMMENT /	Date
&	RECEIVED			RECOMMENDATION	Returned
UDC Return Date					
Date				who may need personal care delivered in their room	
				environment. The building clearly doesn't achieve M4(2)	
				(accessible and adaptable buildings) or M4 (3)	
				(wheelchair user dwellings), which means anyone with	
				any level of disability or mobility issues would not be able	
				to use most of the property. The design of the property	
				would not allow for these standards to be retrospectively	
				achieved either due to restrictions in size and design.	
				Here are 5 easy to cross reference against the standard	
				for why The Warrens' potential as C2 is very, very limited:	
				None of the bedrooms have the required 300mm nib	
				to the door's leading edge (nearly every room in the	
				house would fail this key test for anyone with mobility	
				issues). 2. The corridors are not wide enough to allow for	
				a wheelchair turning circle, or indeed for any specialist	
				equipment to be easily moved around. 3. A stair lift could	
				not be installed as there is insufficient space at the	
				bottom of the stair and the bottom of stair conflicts with	
				the main entrance. 4. 3 of the 4 bedrooms are either of	
				insufficient size or irregular shape to allow assistance	
				features to be added or indeed to allow a carer to access	
				around the whole bed fully. 5. Bathrooms are of	
				insufficient size to allow for assisted bathing or even to	
				allow support to use the WC etc.	
				In fact the upper floor dependency of dropped eaves for	
				the chalet design would be the worst possible for care	
				provision as it lowers the floor to ceiling heights in parts	
				of the bedroom where carers might need to use mobile	
				hoists and would need maximum head clearance. There	
				are other very strange arrangements in the provision of	
				accommodation that suggest whoever submitted the	
				application has not even thought it worth their time to	
				replan the original submission to indicate any change of	
				use to C2. For example:	
				use to cz. For example.	

Agenda Date & UDC Return Date	Email DATE RECEIVED	REF.NO.	DETAILS	PC COMMENT / RECOMMENDATION	Date Returned
				A) This is now proposed for 4bed 7-person occupancy. Dining arrangements are only adequate for 6 persons? B) Separation of space at ground floor does not work for care provision. Where is the care team's separate office/bedspace for overnight? The potential room is currently drawn as a communal 'snug'.	
08/08/19 28/08/19	01/08/19	UL	<b>PROPOSAL:</b> Demolition of existing dwelling and erection of 1 no. new dwelling <b>LOCATION:</b> Bluebell Cottage Cutlers Green	RESOLVED to STRONGLY AND UNANIMOUSLY OBJECT on the following grounds  On the conditions that the Parish Council feel this is over intensification of the area and against the neighbourhood plan. A desecration of the street scene which has historic value.	22/08/19
08/08/19 28/08/19	01/08/19	UL	PROPOSAL: Construction and operation of a solar farm comprising arrays of solar photovoltaic panels and associated infrastructure (inverters and transformers, DNO building, customer switchgear/control room, cabling, security fencing, cctv, access tracks and landscaping) on agricultural land off the B1051. The Development would have an operational lifespan of 40 years.  LOCATION: Terriers Farm Boyton End We have received the above application and would welcome	Scale bulk and massing is far to great for a small community like Thaxted to absorb, its an industrial block on the heritage landscape and the land will be rendered unstainable for food production in the future. Visters and the plateau will be highly disturbed irrevocably. the potential loss of public rights of way which hasn't been addressed, this is a historical sight  The impact of this development on the Thaxted landscape could be dramatic and the application requires very careful consideration. I've had a preliminary look at what has been submitted and there are many serious	22/08/19
				deficiencies and uncertainties which need to be highlighted. There is a danger that the Thaxted community could be lulled into a belief that green energy is good therefore let's not bother about the consequences which will only be realised after the thing is built.	

Agenda Date &	Email DATE RECEIVED	REF.NO.	DETAILS	PC COMMENT / RECOMMENDATION	Date Returned
UDC Return Date					
Date				Essentially it will occupy about 130 acres of Grade 2 agricultural land in a very sensitive landscape. It will involve 125,856 solar panels and a host of infrastructure, housings etc No proper plans are provided with the application in relation to buildings or infrastructure.  The first issue is impact on footpaths. It will affect the amenity of PROWs 49-25;49-27; 49-28; 49-29; 49-67; 38-32; and 38-36. Some footpaths will be altered out of all recognition. It is all very well to say that landscape screening will be put in place but we all know that that takes about 15 years to be of any use and in any case a narrow path running between two hedge lines is totally different to a path adjacent to an open field. It is therefore important that the Thaxted P3 have this brought to their attention if they are not already aware of it.  There is then the general impact on the landscape. The work done by Liz Lake for the NP does not quite go out that far because that study dealt with the Thaxted 'hinterland'. The adjacent local landscape parcels (LPLCA 10 and 11) were however both classified as having a 'high sensitivity' to change and a 'low' or low-medium' capacity for change. There is no reason why land just to the east should be any different. There is however, firmer evidence provided by the work done by Chris Blandford Associates for the local authorities in north-west Essex in 2006. In that study this area is identified as a part of the 'Thaxted Farmland Plateau', where it is stated that the landscape pattern is 'sensitive to potential large-scale development' and has 'a relatively high sensitivity to change'. The guidance is to 'conserve the open views'.	
				The next point is with regard to land quality. The land will	

be taken out of agricultural production for 40 years. This is Grade 2 land, classified as 'best and most versatile'. The use of Grade 1 and 2 land is in both Local Plan and NPPF terms essentially a last resort. A ministerial statement (HCWS 488, 2015) from DCLG states' Meeting our energy goals should not be used to justify the wrong development in the wrong location and this includes the use of high quality land. Protecting the global environment is not an excuse to trash the local environment; it goes not osay 'Amy proposal for a solar farm involving the best and most versatile agricultural land would need to be justified by the most compelling evidence.' The fact is that the applicants have provided no compelling evidence.' The fact is that the applicants have provided no compelling evidence.' They say that they have done an options study (although this has not been submitted) and they say that there is no alternative site within a 2 km radius. They so complain that most of the land in Uttlesford is Grade 2. However, we would comment that this dosen't have to be in Uttlesford. There are masses of more suitable sites in Essex and hundreds of other substations that they can connect into. No evidence has been provided other options considered and why they have been dismissed. This is essential in the light of the ministerial statement. We request formally that this evidence is presented.  Another point is that landscape with aline showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication of the existing landscape with aline showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  29/08/19  08/08/19  10/08/19  10/08/19  10/08/19  10/08/19  10/08/19  10/08/19	Agenda Date	Email DATE RECEIVED	REF.NO.	DETAILS	PC COMMENT /	Date
be taken out of agricultural production for 40 years. This is Grade 2 land, classified as 'best and most versatile'. The use of Grade 1 and 2 land is in both Local Plan and NPPF terms essentially a last report. A ministerial statement (HCWS 488, 2015) from DCLG states 'Meeting our energy goals should not be used to justify the wrong development in the wrong location and this includes the use of high quality land. Protecting the global environment: In ot an excuse to trash the local environment: Is not an excuse to trash the local environment.' It goes on to say 'Any proposal for a solar farm involving the best and most versatile agricultural land would need to be justified by the most compelling evidence.' The fact is that the applicants have provided no compelling evidence. They say that they have done an options study (although this has not been submitted) and they say that they say that they have done an options study (although this has not been submitted) and they say that they are provided on the say that they are connect into. No evidence has been provided of other options considered and why they have been dismissed. There are masses of more suitable sites in Essex and hundreds of other substations that they can connect into. No evidence has been provided of other options considered and why they have been dismissed. This is essential in the light of the ministerial statement. We request formally that this evidence is presented.  Another point is that landscape impact images have been provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  29/08/19  08/08/19  UTT/19/1904/LB  PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof.  COCATION: 22 Newbiggen Street Thaxted	&	RECEIVED			RECOMMENDATION	Returned
is Grade 2 land, classified as 'best and most versatile'. The use of Grade 1 and 2 land is in both Local Plan and NPPF terms essentially a last resort. A ministerial statement (IHCWS 488, 2015) from DCLG states 'Meeting our energy goals sould not be used to justify the wrong development in the wrong location and this includes the use of high quality land. Protecting the global environment is not an excuse to trash the local environment'. It goes on to say 'Any proposal for a solar farm involving the best and most versatile agricultural land would need to be justified by the most compelling evidence'. The fact is that the applicants have provided no compelling evidence. They say that they have done an options study (although this has not been submitted) and they say that there is no alternative site within a 2 km radius. They also complain that most of the land in Uttlesford is Grade 2. However, we would comment that this doesn't have to be in Uttlesford. There are masses of more suitable sites in Essex and hundreds of other substations that they can connect into. No evidence has been provided of other options considered and why they have been dismissed. This is essential in the light of the ministerial statement. We request formally that this evidence is presented.  Another point is that landscape impact images have been provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  29/08/19  08/08/19 UTT/19/1904/LB  PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof.  106/09/19						
use of Grade 1 and 2 land is in both Local Plan and NPPF terms essentially a last resort. A ministerial statement (HCWS 488, 2015) from DCLG states 'Meeting our energy goals should not be used to justify the wrong development in the wrong location and this includes the use of high quality land. Protecting the global environment is not an excuse to trash the local environment is not an excuse to trash the local environment is not an excuse to trash the local environment is not an excuse to trash the local environment. It goes on to say 'Any proposal for a solar farm involving the best and most versatile agricultural land would need to be justified by the most compelling evidence. The fact is that the applicants have provided no compelling evidence. They say that they have done an options study (although this has not been submitted) and they say that there is no alternative site within a 2 km radius. They also complain that most of the land in Uttlesford is Grade 2. However, we would comment that this doesn't have to be in Uttlesford. There are masses of more suitable sites in Essex and hundreds of other sub- stations that they can connect into. No evidence has been provided of other options considered and why they have been dismissed. This is essential in the light of the ministerial statement. We request formally that this evidence is presented.  Another point is that landscape Impact images have been provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  29/08/19  08/08/19 UTT/19/1904/LB PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof. LOCATION: 22 Newbiggen Street Thaxted					be taken out of agricultural production for 40 years. This	
terms essentially a last resort. A ministerial statement (HCWS 488, 2015) from DCLG states 'Meeting our energy goals should not be used to justify the wrong development in the wrong location and this includes the use of high quality land. Protecting the global environment is not an excuse to trash the local environment.' It goes on to say 'Any proposal for a solar farm involving the best and most versatile agricultural land would need to be justified by the most compelling evidence.' The fact is that the applicants have provided no compelling evidence. They say that they have done an options study (although this has not been submitted) and they say that there is no alternative site within a 2 km radius. They also complain that most of the land in Uttlesford is Grade 2. However, we would comment that this doesn't have to be in Uttlesford. There are masses of more suitable sites in Essex and hundreds of other sub- stations that they can connect into. No evidence has been provided of other options considered and why they have been dismissed. This is essential in the light of the ministerial statement. We request formally that this evidence is presented.  Another point is that landscape impact images have been provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  29/08/19  08/08/19  UTT/19/1904/LB PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof. LOCATION: 22 Newbiggen Street Thaxted					is Grade 2 land, classified as 'best and most versatile'. The	
(HCWS 488, 2015) from DCLG states 'Meeting our energy goals should not be used to justify the wrong development in the wrong location and this includes the use of high quality land. Protecting the global environment is not an excuse to trash the local environment is not an excuse to trash the local environment'. It goes on to say 'Any proposal for a solar farm involving the best and most versatile agricultural land would need to be justified by the most compelling evidence'. The fact is that the applicants have provided no compelling evidence. They say that they have done an options study (although this has not been submitted) and they say that there is no alternative site within a 2 km radius. They also complain that most of the land in Uttlesford is Grade 2. However, we would comment that this doesn't have to be in Uttlesford. There are masses of more suitable sites in Essex and hundreds of other substations that they can connect into. No evidence has been provided of other options considered and why they have been dismissed. This is essential in the light of the ministerial statement. We request formally that this evidence is presented.  Another point is that landscape impact images have been provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof.  806/09/19  129/08/19  129/08/19  129/08/19  129/08/19  129/08/19  129/08/19  129/08/19  129/08/19  129/08/19					use of Grade 1 and 2 land is in both Local Plan and NPPF	
goals should not be used to justify the wrong development in the wrong location and this includes the use of high quality land. Protecting the global environment is not an excuse to trash the local environment is not an excuse to trash the local environment is not an excuse to trash the local environment. It goes on to say 'Any proposal for a solar farm involving the best and most versatile agricultural land would need to be justified by the most compelling evidence. The fact is that the applicants have provided no compelling evidence. They say that they have done an options study (although this has not been submitted) and they say that there is no alternative site within a 2 km radius. They also complain that most of the land in Uttlesford is Grade 2. However, we would comment that this doesn't have to be in Uttlesford. There are masses of more suitable sites in Essex and hundreds of other substations that they can connect into. No evidence has been provided of other options considered and why they have been dismissed. This is essential in the light of the ministerial statement. We request formally that this evidence is presented.  Another point is that landscape impact images have been provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof. LOCATION: 22 Newbiggen Street Thaxted  Resolved to Support					terms essentially a last resort. A ministerial statement	
development in the wrong location and this includes the use of high quality land. Protecting the global environment is not an excuse to trash the local environment is not an excuse to trash the local environment. It goes on to say 'Any proposal for a solar farm involving the best and most versatile agricultural land would need to be justified by the most compelling evidence. They say that they have done an options study (although this has not been submitted) and they say that there is no alternative site within a 2 km radius. They also complain that most of the land in Uttlesford is Grade 2. However, we would comment that this doesn't have to be in Uttlesford. There are masses of more suitable sites in Essex and hundreds of other substants that they can connect into. No evidence has been provided of other options considered and why they have been dismissed. This is essential in the light of the ministerial statement. We request formally that this evidence is presented.  Another point is that landscape impact images have been provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof. LOCATION: 22 Newbiggen Street Thaxted  Resolved to Support					(HCWS 488, 2015) from DCLG states 'Meeting our energy	
use of high quality land. Protecting the global environment is not an excuse to trash the local environment. It goes on to say 'Any proposal for a solar farm involving the best and most versatile agricultural land would need to be justified by the most compelling evidence. The fact is that the applicants have provided no compelling evidence. The fact is that the applicants have provided no compelling evidence. They say that they have done an options study (although this has not been submitted) and they say that there is no alternative site within a 2 km radius. They also complain that most of the land in Uttlesford is Grade 2. However, we would comment that this doesn't have to be in Uttlesford. There are masses of more suitable sites in Essex and hundreds of other substations that they can connect into. No evidence has been provided of other options considered and why they have been dismissed. This is essential in the light of the ministerial statement. We request formally that this evidence is presented.  Another point is that landscape impact images have been provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  29/08/19 08/08/19 UTT/19/1904/LB PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof. LOCATION: 22 Newbiggen Street Thaxted  Resolved to Support  06/09/19					goals should not be used to justify the wrong	
environment is not an excuse to trash the local environment. It goes on to say 'Any proposal for a solar farm involving the best and most versatile agricultural land would need to be justified by the most compelling evidence.' The fact is that the applicants have provided no compelling evidence. They say that they have done an options study (although this has not been submitted) and they say that there is no alternative site within a 2 km radius. They also complain that most of the land in Uttlesford is Grade 2. However, we would comment that this doesn't have to be in Uttlesford. There are masses of more suitable sites in Essex and hundreds of other substations that they can connect into. No evidence has been provided of other options considered and why they have been dismissed. This is essential in the light of the ministerial statement. We request formally that this evidence is presented.  Another point is that landscape impact images have been provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  29/08/19  08/08/19  UTT/19/1904/LB  PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof.  Resolved to Support  06/09/19					development in the wrong location and this includes the	
environment is not an excuse to trash the local environment. It goes on to say 'Any proposal for a solar farm involving the best and most versatile agricultural land would need to be justified by the most compelling evidence.' The fact is that the applicants have provided no compelling evidence. They say that they have done an options study (although this has not been submitted) and they say that there is no alternative site within a 2 km radius. They also complain that most of the land in Uttlesford is Grade 2. However, we would comment that this doesn't have to be in Uttlesford. There are masses of more suitable sites in Essex and hundreds of other substations that they can connect into. No evidence has been provided of other options considered and why they have been dismissed. This is essential in the light of the ministerial statement. We request formally that this evidence is presented.  Another point is that landscape impact images have been provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  29/08/19  08/08/19  UTT/19/1904/LB  PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof.  Resolved to Support  06/09/19					use of high quality land. Protecting the global	
farm involving the best and most versatile agricultural land would need to be justified by the most compelling evidence. The fact is that the applicants have provided no compelling evidence. They say that they have done an options study (although this has not been submitted) and they say that there is no alternative site within a 2 km radius. They also complain that most of the land in Uttlesford is Grade 2. However, we would comment that this doesn't have to be in Uttlesford. There are masses of more suitable sites in Essex and hundreds of other substations that they can connect into. No evidence has been provided of other options considered and why they have been dismissed. This is essential in the light of the ministerial statement. We request formally that this evidence is presented.  Another point is that landscape impact images have been provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof. LOCATION: 22 Newbiggen Street Thaxted  Farm involving the best and most ustified not be usualfied not be provided to Support  Farm involving the most of we provided no compeling evidence. They are just have not involved in the provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.						
farm involving the best and most versatile agricultural land would need to be justified by the most compelling evidence. The fact is that the applicants have provided no compelling evidence. They say that they have done an options study (although this has not been submitted) and they say that there is no alternative site within a 2 km radius. They also complain that most of the land in Uttlesford is Grade 2. However, we would comment that this doesn't have to be in Uttlesford. There are masses of more suitable sites in Essex and hundreds of other substations that they can connect into. No evidence has been provided of other options considered and why they have been dismissed. This is essential in the light of the ministerial statement. We request formally that this evidence is presented.  Another point is that landscape impact images have been provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof. LOCATION: 22 Newbiggen Street Thaxted  Farm involving the best and most ustified not be usualfied not be provided to Support  Farm involving the most of we provided no compeling evidence. They are just have not involved in the provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.					environment'. It goes on to say 'Any proposal for a solar	
land would need to be justified by the most compelling evidence. The fact is that the applicants have provided no compelling evidence. They say that they have done an options study (although this has not been submitted) and they say that there is no alternative site within a 2 km radius. They also complain that most of the land in Uttlesford is Grade 2. However, we would comment that this doesn't have to be in Uttlesford. There are masses of more suitable sites in Essex and hundreds of other substations that they can connect into. No evidence has been provided of other options considered and why they have been dismissed. This is essential in the light of the ministerial statement. We request formally that this evidence is presented.  Another point is that landscape impact images have been provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  29/08/19  08/08/19  UTT/19/1904/LB  PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof. LOCATION: 22 Newbiggen Street Thaxted					farm involving the best and most versatile agricultural	
compelling evidence. They say that they have done an options study (although this has not been submitted) and they say that there is no alternative site within a 2 km radius. They also complain that most of the land in Uttlesford is Grade 2. However, we would comment that this doesn't have to be in Uttlesford. There are masses of more suitable sites in Essex and hundreds of other substations that they can connect into. No evidence has been provided of other options considered and why they have been dismissed. This is essential in the light of the ministerial statement. We request formally that this evidence is presented.  Another point is that landscape impact images have been provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof. LOCATION: 22 Newbiggen Street Thaxted  COGATION: 22 Newbiggen Street Thaxted					land would need to be justified by the most compelling	
options study (although this has not been submitted) and they say that there is no alternative site within a 2 km radius. They also complain that most of the land in Uttlesford is Grade 2. However, we would comment that this doesn't have to be in Uttlesford. There are masses of more suitable sites in Essex and hundreds of other substations that they can connect into. No evidence has been provided of other options considered and why they have been dismissed. This is essential in the light of the ministerial statement. We request formally that this evidence is presented.  Another point is that landscape impact images have been provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  29/08/19  08/08/19 UTT/19/1904/LB PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof. Resolved to Support  06/09/19					evidence'. The fact is that the applicants have provided no	
they say that there is no alternative site within a 2 km radius. They also complain that most of the land in Uttlesford is Grade 2. However, we would comment that this doesn't have to be in Uttlesford. There are masses of more suitable sites in Essex and hundreds of other substations that they can connect into. No evidence has been provided of other options considered and why they have been dismissed. This is essential in the light of the ministerial statement. We request formally that this evidence is presented.  Another point is that landscape impact images have been provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  29/08/19  08/08/19  UTT/19/1904/LB PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof. Resolved to Support  06/09/19					compelling evidence. They say that they have done an	
radius. They also complain that most of the land in Uttlesford is Grade 2. However, we would comment that this doesn't have to be in Uttlesford. There are masses of more suitable sites in Essex and hundreds of other substations that they can connect into. No evidence has been provided of other options considered and why they have been dismissed. This is essential in the light of the ministerial statement. We request formally that this evidence is presented.  Another point is that landscape impact images have been provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  29/08/19 08/08/19 UTT/19/1904/LB PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof. LOCATION: 22 Newbiggen Street Thaxted  Resolved to Support  06/09/19					options study (although this has not been submitted) and	
Uttlesford is Grade 2. However, we would comment that this doesn't have to be in Uttlesford. There are masses of more suitable sites in Essex and hundreds of other substations that they can connect into. No evidence has been provided of other options considered and why they have been dismissed. This is essential in the light of the ministerial statement. We request formally that this evidence is presented.  Another point is that landscape impact images have been provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  29/08/19 08/08/19 UTT/19/1904/LB PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof. LOCATION: 22 Newbiggen Street Thaxted  Other than the this doesn't have to be in Uttlesford. There are masses of more suitable sites in Essex and hundreds of there substant have they have been provided of other options considered and why they have been provided by that this evidence is presented.  Another point is that landscape impact images have been provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.					they say that there is no alternative site within a 2 km	
this doesn't have to be in Uttlesford. There are masses of more suitable sites in Essex and hundreds of other substations that they can connect into. No evidence has been provided of other options considered and why they have been dismissed. This is essential in the light of the ministerial statement. We request formally that this evidence is presented.  Another point is that landscape impact images have been provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  29/08/19 08/08/19 UTT/19/1904/LB PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof. LOCATION: 22 Newbiggen Street Thaxted  his doesn't have to be in Uttlesford. There are masses of more suitable sites in Essex and hundreds of other substations. Essex and hundreds of other substations that they can connect into. No evidence has been provided of other options considered and why they have been dismissed. This is essential in the light of the ministerial statement. We request formally that this evidence is presented.  Another point is that landscape impact images have been provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  29/08/19					radius. They also complain that most of the land in	
more suitable sites in Essex and hundreds of other substations that they can connect into. No evidence has been provided of other options considered and why they have been dismissed. This is essential in the light of the ministerial statement. We request formally that this evidence is presented.  Another point is that landscape impact images have been provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  29/08/19 08/08/19 UTT/19/1904/LB PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof. LOCATION: 22 Newbiggen Street Thaxted  Resolved to Support  06/09/19					Uttlesford is Grade 2. However, we would comment that	
stations that they can connect into. No evidence has been provided of other options considered and why they have been dismissed. This is essential in the light of the ministerial statement. We request formally that this evidence is presented.  Another point is that landscape impact images have been provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  29/08/19 08/08/19 UTT/19/1904/LB PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof. LOCATION: 22 Newbiggen Street Thaxted  Resolved to Support  06/09/19					this doesn't have to be in Uttlesford. There are masses of	
provided of other options considered and why they have been dismissed. This is essential in the light of the ministerial statement. We request formally that this evidence is presented.  Another point is that landscape impact images have been provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof. LOCATION: 22 Newbiggen Street Thaxted  PROPOSAL: Resolved to Support  06/09/19					more suitable sites in Essex and hundreds of other sub-	
been dismissed. This is essential in the light of the ministerial statement. We request formally that this evidence is presented.  Another point is that landscape impact images have been provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  29/08/19 08/08/19 UTT/19/1904/LB PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof. LOCATION: 22 Newbiggen Street Thaxted  Deen dismissed. This is essential in the light of the ministerial statement. We request formally that this evidence is presented.  Another point is that landscape impact images have been provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  29/08/19 08/08/19 UTT/19/1904/LB PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof. LOCATION: 22 Newbiggen Street Thaxted					stations that they can connect into. No evidence has been	
ministerial statement. We request formally that this evidence is presented.  Another point is that landscape impact images have been provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  29/08/19 08/08/19 UTT/19/1904/LB PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof. LOCATION: 22 Newbiggen Street Thaxted  ministerial statement. We request formally that this evidence is presented.  Another point is that landscape impact images have been provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.					provided of other options considered and why they have	
evidence is presented.  Another point is that landscape impact images have been provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  29/08/19 08/08/19 UTT/19/1904/LB PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof. 06/09/19 COCATION: 22 Newbiggen Street Thaxted					been dismissed. This is essential in the light of the	
Another point is that landscape impact images have been provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.    29/08/19   08/08/19   UTT/19/1904/LB   PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof.   COCATION: 22 Newbiggen Street Thaxted   Resolved to Support   O6/09/19					ministerial statement. We request formally that this	
provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  29/08/19 08/08/19 UTT/19/1904/LB PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof. 04/09/19 COCATION: 22 Newbiggen Street Thaxted  Provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  Resolved to Support  06/09/19						
provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  29/08/19 08/08/19 UTT/19/1904/LB PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof. 04/09/19 COCATION: 22 Newbiggen Street Thaxted  Provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  Resolved to Support  06/09/19						
just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  29/08/19 08/08/19 UTT/19/1904/LB PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof. LOCATION: 22 Newbiggen Street Thaxted  just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  Resolved to Support  06/09/19					Another point is that landscape impact images have been	
the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  29/08/19 08/08/19 UTT/19/1904/LB PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof. LOCATION: 22 Newbiggen Street Thaxted  the extent of where they will be which provides no indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  Resolved to Support  06/09/19					provided but these do not illustrate the panels! They are	
indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  29/08/19 08/08/19 UTT/19/1904/LB PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof. LOCATION: 22 Newbiggen Street Thaxted  indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  Resolved to Support  06/09/19					just photos of the existing landscape with a line showing	
indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  29/08/19 08/08/19 UTT/19/1904/LB PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof. LOCATION: 22 Newbiggen Street Thaxted  indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they will have.  Resolved to Support  06/09/19					the extent of where they will be which provides no	
29/08/19 08/08/19 UTT/19/1904/LB PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof. 04/09/19 Resolved to Support 06/09/19					indication at all of how they would sit and the impact they	
04/09/19 LOCATION: 22 Newbiggen Street Thaxted					will have.	
EGCATION: 22 Newsigger Street maxted		08/08/19	UTT/19/1904/LB	PROPOSAL: Retention of works to roof.	Resolved to Support	06/09/19
29/08/19 14/08/19 LITT/19/1925/F PROPOSAL Fraction of 1 no dwalling and associated PESOLVED to OPIECT with the following comments 06/09/19				LOCATION: 22 Newbiggen Street Thaxted		
25/05/15 1-7/05/15 01/15/15/25/1   PROPOSAL. Election of 1 no. dwelling and associated   RESOLVED to OBJECT with the following comments 00/05/15	29/08/19	14/08/19	UTT/19/1925/F	PROPOSAL: Erection of 1 no. dwelling and associated	RESOLVED to OBJECT with the following comments	06/09/19

Agenda Date & UDC Return	Email DATE RECEIVED	REF.NO.	DETAILS	PC COMMENT / RECOMMENDATION	Date Returned
Date 11/09/19		UL	garage/study (amended scheme to that approved under planning permission UTT/18/1686/FUL) LOCATION: Mill Hill Farm Cutlers Green	Thaxted Parish Council strongly and unanimously object to this application. The design of the property in the opinion of this council in its current format it is in contravention of Policy HD10 within the Neighbourhood Plan which indicates that it's not in keeping with the Thaxted vernacular. There is tremendous historical presence around this site dating back to the Doomsday book, and this council would ask that this be considered when reflecting on future design principles.	
05/09/19 12/09/19	29/08/19	UTT/19/1864/F UL	PROPOSAL: Construction and operation of a solar farm comprising arrays of solar photovoltaic panels and associated infrastructure (inverters and transformers, DNO building, customer switchgear/ control room, cabling, security fencing, cctv, access tracks and landscaping) on agricultural land off the B1051. The Development would have an operational lifespan of 40 years.  LOCATION: Terriers Farm Boyton End Thaxted	2019\Terriers Farm objection Sept 2019.docx	06/09/19
05/09/19 28/09/19	29/08/19	UTT/19/2059/H HF	PROPOSAL: Extension to existing detached garage. LOCATION: Levetts House Bardfield Road	RESOLVED to SUPPORT	06/09/19
03/10/19 03/10/19	05/09/19	UTT/19/2124/H HF UTT/19/2125/LB	PROPOSAL: Conversion of out house to living space and repair to roof.  LOCATION: Moat End Barn Boyton End	RESOLVED to SUPPORT	3/10/19
03/10/19 04/10/19	06/09/19	UTT/19/2188/H HF UTT/19/2189/LB	PROPOSAL: Single storey rear extension LOCATION: 33 Mill End Thaxted	RESOLVED to SUPPORT	4/10/19
03/10/19 16/10/19	19/09/19	UTT/19/2272/H HF	garage.  LOCATION: 22A Guelphs Lane Thaxted	RESOLVED to SUPPORT the application with the following comments: The policies to consider are S7 of the Local Plan and TX LSC 4 of the Neighbourhood Plan. S7 says that development outside of development limits shall be restricted to development that needs to be in that location or development that is appropriate to a rural area. It also specifically allows for in-fill development. Thaxted Parish Council feel that a single house with a large garden is	16/10/19

Agenda Date & UDC Return Date	Email DATE RECEIVED	REF.NO.	DETAILS	PC COMMENT / RECOMMENDATION	Date Returned
				appropriate to a rural area and the development is in any case, in-fill to the extent that there are houses on either side of the site. Similarly, whilst the preamble to the Neighbourhood Plan policy does talk about sustainability in the context of the outlying settlements, it too does allow for small scale in-fill, such as this.  Cllr Frostick proposes that in the event that this application is refused by UDC planning that we should call this in as this council has a desire to speak on behalf of the applicant in relation to the history of this site, seconded by Cllr V Knight and Cllr R Williams.	
03/10/19 21/10/19	23/09/19	Р	reserved for 1 no. dwelling LOCATION: The Yard Bardfield End Green	RESOLVED to SUPPORT the application with the following comments:  The policies to consider are S7 of the Local Plan and TX LSC 4 of the Neighbourhood Plan. S7 says that development outside of development limits shall be restricted to development that needs to be in that location or development that is appropriate to a rural area. It also specifically allows for in-fill development. Thaxted Parish Council feel that a single house with a large garden is appropriate to a rural area and the development is in any case, in-fill to the extent that there are houses on either side of the site. Similarly, whilst the preamble to the Neighbourhood Plan policy does talk about sustainability in the context of the outlying settlements, it too does allow for small scale in-fill, such as this.  Cllr Frostick proposes that in the event that this application is refused by UDC planning that we should call this in as this council has a desire to speak on behalf of the applicant in relation to the history of this site, seconded by Cllr V Knight and Cllr R Williams.	21/10/19

Agenda Date	Email DATE RECEIVED	REF.NO.	DETAILS	PC COMMENT /	Date
& UDC Return	KECEIVED			RECOMMENDATION	Returned
Date					
17/10/19	08/10/19		PROPOSAL: First floor rear extension	RESOLVED to SUPPORT	19/10/19
05/11/19			LOCATION: 11 Magdalen Green Thaxted		
10/12/19	18/11/19		PROPOSAL: Change of use of land to use as a	RESOLVED to OBJECT UNANIMOUSLY with the following	10/12/19
16/12/19		UL	residential caravan site for one gypsy family with two	comments	
			caravans. Erection of utility building and laying of		
			hardstanding.	The application site is located on the northern side of	
			LOCATION: Amenity Land Bardfield Road	Bardfield Road approximately 350m to the east of the	
				development limits of the village of Thaxted. The site itself	
				is relatively level, triangular in shape and consists of	
				approximately 0.20 of a hectare in size. The site is located	
				on a dangerous junction with a country lanes adjacent to	
				the northern boundary and Bardfield Road bounding the	
				southern boundary.	
				Communal allotments are located opposite the site to the	
				north, large open fields used for agriculture to the south	
				and a small linear row of residential housing is located on	
				the northern side of Bardfield Road to the west. The site is	
				located approximately 1.2km to the east of the town	
				centre of Thaxted.	
				The application site is within an area identified in the	
				Uttlesford District Local Plan as outside the established	
				development limits and is therefore considered to be	
				within the countryside. The development proposed is for	
				change of use to a residential caravan site for one gypsy	
				family with two caravans and the erection of a utility	
				building and laying of hardstanding.	
				This would result in a significant intensification in the b	
				form within the immediate area. It would in turn alter	
				character of the surrounding locality creating an urbanis	
				effect that would be out of context with the existing patt	
				of development and harmful to the setting and character a	
				appearance of the countryside. The benefit of the supply (	

Agenda Date	Email DATE	REF.NO.	DETAILS	PC COMMENT /	Date
&	RECEIVED			RECOMMENDATION	Returned
UDC Return Date					
Date				residential caravan site for one gypsy family does	
				outweigh the harm that this causes. This is particularly	
				given that the latest Gypsy and Traveller Accommodat	
				Assessment prepared for UDC indicates that there is	
				requirement for further gypsy and traveller pitches	
				Uttlesford. The development is therefore contrary to Policy	
				of the Adopted Local Plan which limits development in	
				countryside to uses that need to be there. If there is	
				requirement for further gypsy and traveller pitches	
				Uttlesford then clearly there can be no need for this form	
				development to be located in the countryside. In terms of	
				National Planning Policy Framework, paragraphs 7 to 14 of	
				NPPF set out that there is a presumption in favour	
				sustainable development whilst subsequent paragrap	
				taken as a whole, constitute the Government's view of w	
				sustainable development means. The proposal would	
				collectively comply with the positive stance towa	
				sustainable development as set out in the National Plann	
				Policy Framework. In particular, the proposal fails to take i	
				account the need to protect and enhance the natural, b	
				and historic environment and at the same time, the rem	
				location of the site away from any community facili	
				renders any development socially unsustainable. As sucl	
				fails to fulfil the three strands of sustainable development.	
				The proposal is, therefore, contrary not only to national	
				planning policy but is also contrary to both Local and	
				Neighbourhood Plan policies as follows:	
				UTTLESFORD LOCAL PLAN (adopted 2005)	
				Policy S7 - The Countryside	
				Policy GEN1 - Access	
				Policy GEN2 - Design	
				Policy GEN3 - Flood Protection	
				Policy GEN4 - Good Neighbours	
				Policy GEN7 - Nature Conservation	
				Policy GEN8 - Vehicle Parking Standards	

Agenda Date	Email DATE	REF.NO.	DETAILS	PC COMMENT /	Date
&	RECEIVED			RECOMMENDATION	Returned
UDC Return					
Date					
				THAXTED NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN (adopted February	
				2019)	
				Policy TX LSC 4 – Development in Outlying Settlements	
				Specifically, the proposed development does not fall	
				within one of the permitted categories. It does not form	
				an extension, nor is it replacement, infill or the re-use or	
				conversion of commercial premises.	
				·	
				Highways Safety is a serious concern at this dangerous	
				road junction and was subject to highways comments at	
				the last application.	
				This site has been refused on appeal for a dwelling house	
				and this scheme is in no way different to that application.	
				It has been claimed there may be a public right of way	
				across this site and needs further investigation.	
40/42/40	20/44/40				40/42/40
10/12/19 27/12/19	29/11/19		<b>PROPOSAL:</b> Proposed replacement of fence with brick	RESOLVED to SUPPORT	10/12/19
27/12/19			wall.		
10/12/10	04/43/40		LOCATION: 22 Guelphs Lane Thaxted.		10/12/10
10/12/19 01/01/20	04/12/19	111	<b>PROPOSAL:</b> Change of use of first floor of annexe and		10/12/19
01,01,20			change of use of redundant agricultural building into a		
			total of 3 no. holiday lets		
			LOCATION: The Willows Monk Street		